

## The effect of simvastatin on soil ammonia oxidisers

## M. O. BELLO

Department of Microbiology, Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba-Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria

\*Corresponding Author's E-mail address: marcus.bello@aaua.edu.ng, marcus.bello@aaua.edu.ng

## Abstract

The quest to understand and distinguish the contributions of ammonia oxidising archaea **Keywords:** (AOA) and ammonia oxidising bacteria (AOB) to nitrification in thesoil has increased the search for compounds that can selectively inhibit either of them. This study isaimed to Simvastatin, investigate selective inhibition of AOA, in pure cultures and soil, by simvastatin and to ammonia determine the effect of selective inhibition of AOA and AOB on NH<sub>3</sub> oxidation activity and oxidising archaea, growth of AOA and AOB in the soil. In pure cultures, simvastatin selectively inhibited all ammonia oxidising tested AOA isolates at concentrations between 8 and 100 µM. In soil microcosms incubated bacteria, inhibition, with 1 mM of simvastatin at low and high NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations for 28 days, simvastatin selectively inhibited AOA but not AOB in pH 6.5 soil. More so, simvastatin is a selective competition. AOA inhibitor in culture and soil. AOA and AOB growth in soil were detected at low and high NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>concentration. Therefore, AOA and AOB are more active when competition for  $NH_4^+$  in the soil is relieved using selective inhibitors

Accepted:27 June 2019 © Science Research Annals. All rights reserved.

## INTRODUCTION

Both ammonia oxidising bacteria (AOB) and ammonia oxidising archaea (AOA) are involved in ammonia oxidation (Prosser, 2011; Nicol et al., 2011) and there is evidence for niche differentiation associated with ammonium (NH4<sup>+</sup>) concentration and supply (Di et al., 2009; 2010; Hofferle et al., 2010; Stopnisek et al., 2010; Verhamme et al., 2011). The initial belief that AOB prefer highly fertilised soils, while AOA prefer soils receiving low rates of ammonia supply was questioned by recent discoveries on the isolation of Candidatus (Ca.) Nitrosocosmicus genus of AOA (Lehtovirta-Morley et al., 2016; Jung et al., 2016; Sauder et al., 2017) and the detection of ammonia oxidation and growth of AOA in soil amended with low and high NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration (Hink et al., 2016; 2017). This latter discovery clearly suggests that differences in competition for ammonia by AOA and AOB in soil supplied with different concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>arethe differentiating factors between AOA and AOB rather than the differential inhibition of AOA and AOB by high ammonia concentration.

Gubry-Rangin *et al.* (2010) detected ammonia oxidation activity and growth of AOA with no

recorded growth of AOB even though their presence was detected in two soils with different pH (4.5 and 6.0) and low ammonia concentration. The presence of AOB in acidic soil was supported by the detection of AOB phylotypes (Onodera et al., 2010; Stopnisek et al., 2010; Prosser and Nicol, 2012) and isolation of Gamma-proteobacteria AOB (strain TAO100) from acidic soil (Hayatsu et al., 2017). However, information on the contribution of AOB to nitrification in acidic soil and in soil with low ammonia concentration is limited due to lack of a potent selective AOA inhibitor. The need to study the contributions of different groups of ammonia oxidisers (AOA and AOB) to ammonia oxidation in soil at different ammonia concentrations and pH lead to the use of compounds that can selectively inhibit ammonia oxidation activity of AOA or AOB. Although several chemicals inhibitors such as nitrapyrin, dicyandiamide, allylthiourea, carbon disulphidebased inhibitors, 3,4-dimethylpyrazol-phosphate, 2amino-4-chloro-6-methylpyrimidine and acetylene have been characterised and used as inhibitors of soil nitrification with a view to their commercial use to increase the efficiency of ammonia-based fertilisers (Subbarao et al., 2006; Singh and Verma,